







Daylight saving time affects European mortality patterns

Received: 25 September 2021

Accepted: 3 November 2022

Published online: 14 November 2022

 Check for updates

Laurent Lévy¹, Jean-Marie Robine ^{2,3}, Grégoire Rey⁴,
Raúl Fernando Méndez Turrubiates⁵, Marcos Quijal-Zamorano ^{5,6},
Hicham Achebak⁵, Joan Ballester ⁵, Xavier Rodó ^{5,7} &
François R. Herrmann ^{1,8} 

Daylight saving time (DST) consists in a one-hour advancement of legal time in spring offset by a backward transition of the same magnitude in fall. It creates a minimal circadian misalignment that could disrupt sleep and homeostasis in susceptible individuals and lead to an increased incidence of pathologies and accidents during the weeks immediately following both transitions. How this shift affects mortality dynamics on a large population scale remains, however, unknown. This study examines the impact of DST on all-cause mortality in 16 European countries for the period 1998–2012. It shows that mortality decreases in spring and increases in fall during the first two weeks following each DST transition. Moreover, the alignment of time data around DST transition dates revealed a septadian mortality pattern (lowest on Sundays, highest on Mondays) that persists all-year round, irrespective of seasonal variations, in men and women aged above 40.

Western Europe is subject to a biannual time change policy, also known as daylight saving time (DST). The clock is set forward by one hour on the last Sunday in March and set back to standard time on the last Sunday in October. Implemented for the purposes of energy conservation and time harmonisation, DST today involves an estimated population of 447 million in Europe¹.

In 2019, an international panel of experts called for the discontinuation of DST, motivated by sensible evidence supporting its negative impact on public health². A year later, the American Academy of Sleep Medicine followed suit in a position statement³. In Europe, public opinions, as well as policymakers, started to express similar reservations and doubts about its economic relevance so much that the European Parliament issued a proposal to end “the seasonal change of time” by 2021, granting every country the block the liberty to choose between DST and standard time as a fixed, year-round time policy⁴. EU countries were yet reluctant to put such dramatic changes in place, for lack of significant data from population health studies on DST—particularly on mortality—and scientific guidance on which time framework

they should favour instead. The Covid pandemic largely impeded those debates, leaving the majority of these questions unanswered.

In fact, there is evidence that DST might adversely affect health by imposing a minimal shift on the circadian clock, thereby disrupting its physiological function and transiently causing anomalous sleep parameters; hindering the circadian clock’s adjustment, or even compromising it in late chronotypes (i.e. individuals who prefer a late bedtime), particularly during the spring^{5,6}.

Studies have demonstrated that DST could have an effect on physical or mental diseases and accidents characterised by seasonal, temporal or circadian rhythmicity and particularly sensitive to disturbances of the sleep-wake cycle^{7,8}. Spring transitions were found to be associated with a shift in onset as well as a modestly increased risk of myocardial infarction (MI)^{7,9–16}, ischaemic stroke (IS)^{17,18}, motor vehicle accidents (MVs)^{19–21}, atrial fibrillation (AF)²², patient safety-related incidents (SRIs)²³ and suicides^{24,25}. Because these conditions represent a high proportion of general mortality, it was hypothesised that DST could impinge on general mortality patterns²⁶.

¹Medical School of the University of Geneva, Geneva, Switzerland. ²Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale (INSERM), Montpellier, France. ³École Pratique des Hautes Études, Paris, France. ⁴CépiDc-Inserm, Hôpital Bicêtre, Paris, France. ⁵ISGlobal, Barcelona, Spain. ⁶Universitat Pompeu Fabra (UPF), Barcelona, Spain. ⁷Institució Catalana de Recerca i Estudis Avançats, Barcelona, Catalonia, Spain. ⁸Division of Geriatrics, Department of Rehabilitation and Geriatrics, Geneva University Hospitals, Thônex, Switzerland. ✉e-mail: francois.herrmann@hcuge.ch

The interpretation of these studies, however, is strongly limited. Results tend to be conflicting, for the most part, and of weak statistical significance; samples, which differ often in terms of age, sex, and comorbidities, are of limited size; study periods are too short; control periods around DST transitions vary greatly, and different statistical approaches do not allow for comparison^{15,19,27}.

Here we present the relationship between DST transitions and patterns of all-cause mortality in 16 European countries that apply the DST policy for the period 1998–2012. We divided daily death numbers by daily population estimates to compare daily death rates (DDR) for up to 2 months before and after each DST transition. We find that both DST transitions are significantly associated with mortality patterns that decrease in spring and increase in the fall, after adjusting for temporal (year, season, month, day of the week), meteorological (daily mean temperature, humidity and wind speed), geographic (latitude and longitude of the region's centroid, a sine–cosine function that considers daylight seasonality, yearly regional GDP and country) and population variables (sex and 10 years age group). We also report a distinct septadian pattern of mortality (i.e. death rates fall on Sunday and peak on Monday) present throughout the year, limited to individuals aged ≥ 40 of both sexes, and not described to date.

Results

The dataset included 59,067,376 observed deaths over a 15-year period, ranging from 3,978,245 in 1998 (out of a total population of 396,756,788) to 4,058,346 in 2012 (out of a total population of 422,022,873).

DST and mortality

Figure 1 shows the 15-year average daily mortality rates in 16 European countries when the data are unadjusted and centred on each DST date. Daily mortality rates are obviously subject to seasonal variations with a downward trend in spring and an upward trend in fall (cf. splines in Fig. 1b, c). However, when compared to these expected seasonal trends, mortality shows an apparent increase after the DST transition in spring (Fig. 1b) and an apparent decrease in fall (Fig. 1c).

The mortality curves tend to be higher for men than for women in all age groups (Fig. 2) but it is worth mentioning that the mortality of women aged [0–10] is higher than that of women aged [10–30]. Only the curves for the over-40 age group of both sexes (Fig. 2) show mortality patterns identical to those of the general population (cf. Fig. 1b, c). There is a DST effect, a Sunday effect (i.e. the weekly minimum of mortality is reached on Sunday, while the peak is reached on Monday), as well as a sensitivity to seasonal changes, which strengthens as age increases. However, men aged between 10 and 30 display an inverted pattern with regard to the Sunday effect: the peak of mortality is found on Sunday and the minimum the subsequent Monday.

An adjusted multiple binomial negative regression model (Table 1) shows a significant decrease in death counts in the spring DST ($IRR_{\text{week1}} 0.965$; 95% CI: 0.951–0.979, $p < 0.001$; $IRR_{\text{week2}} 0.972$; 95% CI: 0.958–0.986, $p < 0.001$), and a significant increase in the fall DST ($IRR_{\text{week1}} 1.018$ 95% CI: 1.003–1.033, $p = 0.016$; $IRR_{\text{week2}} 1.023$ 95% CI: 1.008–1.039, $p = 0.002$). Significant variations of mortality are mainly observed during the first two weeks following each DST transition. Nevertheless, we note from week 4 onwards after the fall of DST a significant, quasi-constant decrease in daily death rates. General mortality in the 16 countries examined shows a continuous and significant downward trend between 1998 and 2012. It also exhibits a clear seasonality: mortality is highest in winter and lowest in spring (when summer is taken as a reference). The values gradually diminish from January to September and then increase again. Mortality rates are higher in men than in women for all age groups. The older the age group, however, the highest the death rates, irrespective of sex. Regional longitude and latitude are also modest determinants of mortality, as easternmost and northernmost NUTS2 centroids,

respectively, are associated with a higher risk of death. In our dataset, Switzerland and Italy have the lowest mortality rates, while Croatia and Poland have the highest. Higher annual and regional (i.e. by NUTS2) gross domestic product (GPD) is significantly associated with a lower risk of death. Finally, as to meteorological variables, we find that an increase in temperature and wind speed, but a decrease in relative humidity were significantly leading to a higher risk of mortality. The same model with different sex and age interactions—month, day of the week, spring DST, fall DST and country—shows that most are statistically significant with the notable exception of the following ones: sex*DSTs; 10-year age group*DST spring (results not shown).

Septadian pattern

We find a regular pattern of mortality limited to the end of the week and consisting of a significant drop on Sunday, followed by a significant 3% increase on the subsequent Monday ($IRR 1.029$; 95% CI: 1.024–1.033, $p < 0.001$) (Table 1), corresponding to an absolute excess number of 15,102 deaths per year. This pattern recurs every week, month, and season, is present throughout the year (Fig. 1 and Supplementary Fig. 1), in the largest countries examined (2) and only affects men and women aged 40 and over (Fig. 2). Neither the DST transition in spring nor the return to standard time in the fall influences this pattern. A significant opposite pattern is observed only in men aged [10–30].

Discussion

DST and mortality

This is the largest study to assess the relationship between DST and all-cause mortality at a continental level, our dataset comprising more than 59 million deaths from 16 European countries over a 15-year period.

Our results indicate for the first time that DST is linked with a significant decrease in general mortality in spring (–3.6% week 1; –2.9% week 2 post-DST) as well as a significant increase in the fall (+1.8% week 1; +2.3% week 2 post-DST). They are in striking contrast to the general scientific postulate that has driven much of the DST literature (i.e. a minimal deprivation of sleep would result in an increase in mortality) and to the conclusions of previous papers which emphasised the question of mortality^{14,25,26}.

One of them, whose methodology is somewhat comparable to this study, assesses the effects of DST on general mortality in the city of Vienna between 1970 and 2018, using a Poisson regression model²⁶. It finds an increase in mortality of about 3% per day in the week following DST in spring (Tuesday to Friday) and an apparent weak protective role of Monday after the transition in fall. It is very likely that the reported increase in mortality is not attributable to the DST, but may rather reflect the recurrent mortality pattern reported in our study (death rates increase by 3% on Mondays as compared to Sundays).

To date, very few papers have investigated the short-, medium- and long-term consequences of a minimal shift in circadian rhythm (as constituted by DST transitions) on mortality, mental and physical health. Because the spring transition causes stress on the internal clock that fails to adjust, and thus increases its difference with the social clock^{6,28,29}, it has long been suggested, although nonunivocally, that the time of disease/accident presentation, as well as their respective risk, would be the greatest on the transition day (Sunday) and the following days (especially Monday). While this might be the case for cardiovascular diseases^{9,12,14,17,30,31}, or road traffic accidents^{20,32}, for example, Zhang et al.⁷ also hypothesised that spring DST might act as a brief stressor that could have beneficial effects on inflammatory processes and thus reduce the risk of certain health conditions. Based on our findings, we cannot exclude that DST in spring does not significantly change their occurrence, risk and outcome, immediately after the transition or in a delayed




Fig. 1 | Unadjusted average daily death rate/100,000 observed in 16 European countries (1998–2012). Vertical dotted line: DST transition date. Blue curve: spring. Orange curve: fall. Grey dots: Sundays. Triangles: Mondays. **a** Spring and fall transition. **b** Spring transition with 3rd-degree polynomial regression envelop for

Sundays ($r^2 = 0.991$) and Monday ($r^2 = 0.992$), the dotted lines correspond to a 7 weeks interpolation period. **c** Fall transition with 2nd-degree polynomial regression envelop for Sundays ($r^2 = 0.982$) and Mondays ($r^2 = 0.983$), the dotted lines correspond to the interpolation period.

manner but it is unlikely to negatively interfere with the general trend in mortality that we describe.

Furthermore, it is assumed that the switch back to standard time in the fall (with the possible addition of one hour of sleep) would either have no effect on the risk of diseases, accidents and mortality or would be a protective factor^{6,7,20,21,26,33}. After adjusting for temporal, meteorological, geographic, country-specific, and population variables, our

findings point to a significant increase in mortality in the first and second week after the DST fall transition.

We cannot account for the significant decrease in daily mortality that occurs after week 4 post-DST fall, as it occurs independently of the monthly and seasonal effect. Indeed, one would normally expect an increase in mortality at this time of year (cf. Supplementary Fig. 1)^{34,35}.




Fig. 2 | Unadjusted average daily death rate by sex and age groups. Unadjusted average daily death rate/100,000 observed between 1998 and 2012 in 16 European countries by sex (women (left); men (right)) and 10 years age groups 80+ (a, b), 70.0–79.9 (c, d), 60.0–69.9 (e, f), 50.0–59.9 (g, h), 40.0–49.9 (i, j), 30.0–39.9 (k, l),

20.0–29.9 (m, n), 10.0–19.9 (o, p), 0.0–9.9 (q, r). Vertical dotted line: DST transition date. Blue curve: spring. Orange curve: fall. Grey dots: Sundays. Triangles: Mondays.

Table 1 | Multiple negative binomial regression model predicting daily mortality rate expressed as incidence rate ratios (IRR) with 95% confidence interval (95% CI)

Parameter	IRR	95% CI	P	P « exact »
Year				
1998	1.227	[1.225–1.230]	<0.001	0.0000 E+00
1999	1.214	[1.212–1.216]	<0.001	0.0000 E+00
2000	1.144	[1.142–1.146]	<0.001	0.0000 E+00
2001	1.101	[1.099–1.103]	<0.001	0.0000 E+00
2002	1.070	[1.068–1.072]	<0.001	0.0000 E+00
2003	1.067	[1.065–1.069]	<0.001	0.0000 E+00
2004	1.003	[1.001–1.005]	0.002	2.0637 E-03
2005	1.000	–		
2006	0.956	[0.954–0.958]	<0.001	0.0000 E+00
2007	0.944	[0.942–0.946]	<0.001	0.0000 E+00
2008	0.929	[0.928–0.931]	<0.001	0.0000 E+00
2009	0.914	[0.912–0.915]	<0.001	0.0000 E+00
2010	0.899	[0.897–0.900]	<0.001	0.0000 E+00
2011	0.866	[0.864–0.867]	<0.001	0.0000 E+00
2012	0.870	[0.868–0.872]	<0.001	0.0000 E+00
Season				
Spring	1.016	[1.014–1.018]	<0.001	4.2703 E-45
Summer				
Autumn	1.016	[1.014–1.018]	<0.001	1.2774 E-44
Winter	1.078	[1.075–1.080]	<0.001	0.0000 E+00
Month				
1	1.243	[1.239–1.248]	<0.001	0.0000 E+00
2	1.210	[1.206–1.214]	<0.001	0.0000 E+00
3	1.158	[1.155–1.162]	<0.001	0.0000 E+00
4	1.113	[1.110–1.116]	<0.001	0.0000 E+00
5	1.047	[1.044–1.050]	<0.001	1.9755 E-208
6	1.023	[1.020–1.025]	<0.001	2.6867 E-78
7	1.020	[1.018–1.022]	<0.001	1.9998 E-73
8	1.000	–		
9	1.002	[1.000–1.003]	0.110	1.1008 E-01
10	1.056	[1.053–1.059]	<0.001	2.6596 E-305
11	1.117	[1.113–1.120]	<0.001	0.0000 E+00
12	1.193	[1.189–1.197]	<0.001	0.0000 E+00
Day of the week				
Sunday	0.972	[0.970–0.973]	<0.001	0.0000 E+00
Monday	1.000	–		
Tuesday	0.997	[0.996–0.998]	<0.001	2.1190 E-06
Wednesday	0.993	[0.992–0.994]	<0.001	6.5640 E-27
Thursday	0.994	[0.992–0.995]	<0.001	5.1022 E-24
Friday	0.997	[0.996–0.998]	<0.001	1.3594 E-05
Saturday	0.985	[0.984–0.986]	<0.001	1.1747 E-118
Sex				
Man	1.623	[1.622–1.625]	<0.001	0.0000 E+00
Age group				
0.0–09.9	1.000	–		
10.0–19.9	0.448	[0.446–0.451]	<0.001	0.0000 E+00
20.0–29.9	0.972	[0.968–0.977]	<0.001	4.9523 E-35
30.0–39.9	1.553	[1.547–1.559]	<0.001	0.0000 E+00
40.0–49.9	3.862	[3.848–3.876]	<0.001	0.0000 E+00
50.0–59.9	9.381	[9.348–9.413]	<0.001	0.0000 E+00
60.0–69.9	21.481	[21.407–21.554]	<0.001	0.0000 E+00
70.0–79.9	56.733	[56.541–56.926]	<0.001	0.0000 E+00
80+	219.048	[218.311–219.787]	<0.001	0.0000 E+00

Table 1 (continued) | Multiple negative binomial regression model predicting daily mortality rate expressed as incidence rate ratios (IRR) with 95% confidence interval (95% CI)

Parameter	IRR	95% CI	P	P « exact »
SinCos	1.021	[1.015–1.026]	<0.001	1.7064 E–13
Longitude	1.000	[1.000–1.001]	<0.001	4.4561E–07
Latitude	1.008	[1.008–1.008]	<0.001	0.0000 E+00
Week number Spring DST				
0	0.988	[0.978–0.998]	0.023	2.3427 E–02
–1	1.000	–		
1	0.965	[0.951–0.979]	<0.001	1.7360 E–06
2	0.972	[0.958–0.986]	<0.001	1.2388 E–04
3	0.986	[0.972–1.001]	0.062	6.1846 E–02
4	0.988	[0.973–1.002]	0.089	8.9270 E–02
5	0.991	[0.977–1.006]	0.244	2.4379 E–01
6	0.997	[0.983–1.011]	0.659	6.5884 E–01
7	1.000	[0.986–1.015]	0.968	9.6832 E–01
8	0.989	[0.975–1.004]	0.148	1.4784 E–01
Week number Fall DST				
0	0.983	[0.972–0.993]	0.001	1.2410 E–03
–1	1.000	–		
1	1.018	[1.003–1.033]	0.016	1.6181 E–02
2	1.023	[1.008–1.039]	0.002	2.3109 E–03
3	0.999	[0.984–1.014]	0.889	8.8864 E–01
4	0.971	[0.957–0.986]	<0.001	1.1045 E–04
5	0.989	[0.974–1.003]	0.133	1.3298 E–01
6	0.983	[0.968–0.997]	0.021	2.1338 E–02
7	0.983	[0.968–0.997]	0.020	1.9513 E–02
8	0.982	[0.968–0.997]	0.017	1.7054 E–02
Country				
AT	1.000	–		
BE	1.014	[1.011–1.017]	<0.001	4.5363 E–18
CH	0.881	[0.878–0.884]	<0.001	0.0000 E+00
CZ	1.301	[1.297–1.304]	<0.001	0.0000 E+00
DE	1.007	[1.005–1.010]	<0.001	6.3580 E–09
DK	1.102	[1.098–1.107]	<0.001	0.0000 E+00
ES	0.931	[0.928–0.935]	<0.001	2.2824 E–247
FR	0.911	[0.909–0.914]	<0.001	0.0000 E+00
HR	1.435	[1.429–1.441]	<0.001	0.0000 E+00
IT	0.911	[0.909–0.914]	<0.001	0.0000 E+00
LU	0.961	[0.953–0.970]	<0.001	4.2264 E–18
NL	1.007	[1.003–1.011]	<0.001	3.8102 E–04
PL	1.367	[1.363–1.371]	<0.001	0.0000 E+00
PT	1.099	[1.094–1.105]	<0.001	1.3978 E–281
SI	1.172	[1.166–1.177]	<0.001	0.0000 E+00
UK	1.083	[1.079–1.086]	<0.001	0.0000 E+00
Mean temperature [K]	1.004	[1.004–1.005]	<0.001	0.0000 E+00
Mean relative humidity [%]	0.980	[0.977–0.984]	<0.001	3.5545 E–25
Mean speed [m/s]	1.000	[1.000–1.001]	0.002	1.7502 E–03
GDP	0.999	[0.999–0.999]	<0.001	0.0000 E+00

N = 13,714,704 observations (2 sex, 9 age group, 15 years, 145 NUTS2 regions, 365.27 days by year on average over the period (4 leap years)).

0: all the weeks not included in the DST; –1: the week before DST; 1: the first week after DST, etc.

GDP: gross domestic product in millions of US dollars.

P values are two-sided and obtained from z-tests. Usually, there is no need for further adjustment for multiple comparisons as all parameters were analysed at once in the same regression model, but as the number of P values is high we computed the Benjamini–Hochberg P-value threshold for multiple comparisons, which is equal to 0.0445783, very close to the usual 0.05.

P “exact”: detailed P-value presented as the power of 10 (i.e. 4.2703 E–45 is the scientific notation for 4.2703*10^{–45}).

End-of-week patterns: a Sunday or Monday effect?

This study finds a peculiar, weekly pattern of mortality. It is defined as a significant decrease every Sunday (nadir) followed by a significant increase every Monday (peak), regardless of the season and throughout the year. This pattern only affects men and women aged above 40.

Scarce evidence exists in the literature that could account for this finding. A group of studies examining the temporal distribution of certain diseases that are prone to circadian and septadian rhythmicity distinctly point to an increased risk of MI, IS, sudden deaths, acute aortic dissections (AAD), and cardiac arrests on Mondays^{36–41}. Challenging these established conclusions, recent data looking at sudden cardiac deaths and AAD suggest however that such temporal distribution either fades or become no longer significant, as psychosocial stressors—prominent triggers of cardiovascular accidents—tend to be more evenly distributed across the entire week^{42,43}. Significant associations with the first day of the week have also been reported for suicide, road traffic and workplace accidents^{44–49}.

The greater incidence of mortality on Mondays could also be explained by a possible weekend effect, that is, an altered patient outcome—namely an increased mortality risk—if hospital admissions or interventions occur during the weekend^{50–53}. Although causal pathways have always proved particularly difficult to determine, it has long been attributed to suboptimal senior staffing, systematic workflow disruptions, and characteristics of patients admitted on weekends (i.e. sicker) compared to weekdays^{54–56}. Yet some authors have merely failed to identify such an effect, emphasising that it may only be clinically relevant in certain geographic locations, hospital settings, periods of the year, subgroups of patients, etc. In the context of a weekend effect, it is worth mentioning that the frequency of death has not been associated with any specific day of the week^{52,57}.

Four hypotheses could be put forward to understand these weekly mortality patterns.

First, the death of elderly people living alone occurring during the weekend may only be noticed the next Monday. This “detection bias” could be confirmed if this regular pattern were absent in hospitals and nursing homes.

Secondly, doctors responsible for issuing death certificates are less likely to work on weekends (reporting bias), which could produce a catching-up effect on Mondays; but this seems very improbable given that young men aged [10–30] display regular mortality peaks each weekend.

Ambient air pollution due to traffic congestion and industrial activity (especially NO₂) appears to be lower on weekends and could therefore provide a rationale for the lower mortality rates observed on Sundays⁵⁸, as it is increasingly recognised as a key risk factor for cardiovascular disease, suicide, and overall mortality^{59–62}.

Finally, we could hypothesise that the social and domestic activities taking place on weekends (more family contact with the elderly, less exposure to hazardous situations, more sleep time) might have a protective role, thereby contributing to this weekly decline in mortality incidence.

As for the opposite pattern presented in our results, which mainly affects young men aged between 10 and 35 (the mortality peaks on Sundays), this is probably attributable to transport accidents and self-injuries, the leading promoters of death in this age group occurring most frequently on weekends.

Strengths and limitations

This study offers many strengths. The very important size of our population allowed us to examine for the first time daily variations of mortality up to 2 months before and after DST transitions. Furthermore, our dataset comprises exhaustive death numbers of all age groups and both sexes from 16 European countries, whereas previous studies were only sample-based and limited to specific populations (i.e. hospital admissions with comorbidities) in particular countries.

Weather conditions, which can be important confounding factors, as they can greatly alter daily mortality rates when significant enough, have been taken into account. Socio-economic conditions can influence the mortality level of a given population; hence we adjusted our analysis for country and yearly regional GDP.

Nevertheless, this study should be interpreted with caution.

The most critical limitation of our study is the absence of data with regard to the daily causes of deaths by age, sex, and NUTS2 region. In fact, these data are not commonly available at the European level and are extremely difficult to obtain from national statistical offices, given that they can serve as key clues to the identification of deaths and pose problems of confidentiality. Yet, many studies suggest that death certificates remain largely inaccurate, particularly in the context of a diminishing number of yearly autopsies^{63–67}.

As the national statistical offices did not yield the subjects' demographic characteristics or health status, we could not extend our sub-group analysis beyond age, sex, day, region and country of death. In addition, we did not have access to the time of death. Hence, no hourly distribution could be analysed. Monday deaths might actually have taken place during the very first hours of the day (during the Sunday–Monday night) and fall into the weekend category (depending on the definition) supporting the Sunday effect already mentioned.

We cannot exclude DST-associated increases in mortality limited to specific subgroups of patients^{7,13}. However, these increases might be captured by random fluctuations and unlikely to determine mortality trends on a regional, country or population level. It was not feasible to compare the DST effect with Western countries that did not apply this policy.

This is an observational study. Thus, causation cannot be inferred.

The last limitation would be the absence of documented sleep parameters (quality; duration; sleep–wake cycle), and chronotypes, whose interplay notably governs an individual's response to DST transition^{6,68}.

To conclude, DST does influence mortality in Western Europe. It is associated with a significant decrease in mortality during the first two weeks after the spring DST and a significant increase in mortality during the first two weeks after the fall DST. For the purpose of this study, we had to align time data around the DST dates, which revealed that weekly mortality reached its lowest value every Sunday, throughout the year.

Methods

Daylight saving time (DST)

Austria (AT), Belgium (BE), Croatia (HR), Czech Republic (CZ), Denmark (DK), England and Wales (UK), France (FR), Germany (DE), Italy (IT), Luxemburg (LU), the Netherlands (NL), Poland (PL), Portugal (PT), Slovenia (SI), Spain (ES), and Switzerland (CH) implemented DST before 1998. The European Parliament has established two common transition dates for all Member States (the last Sunday in March and the last Sunday in October). Control periods were set 2 months before and after both transition dates.

Data sources

We wrote emails to each national statistical office (listed in Supplementary Table 1) to obtain daily death counts and annual population numbers (collected by sex, one-year age group, country and 145 NUTS2 regions - Nomenclature of Territorial Units for Statistics) of 16 European countries (see above) for the period 1998–2012^{34,69}. We conducted population analyses according to sex and 10 years age group. Mortality data by sex and age groups were missing for Croatia and the United Kingdom before 2002. Population data by age was also missing for CH (1998), PL (1998, 2011–2012), FR (2012), and DE (2011–2012); thus, these years were excluded from Fig. 2 and Table 1. We have taken the year 2000 as the reference year, as it is present in all the data examined.

Daily death counts ($Death_{[day]}$) were adjusted for varying lengths of the day at DST transition dates: 23 h in spring and 25 h in fall. Daily population counts ($Population_{[day]}$) were obtained using a method previously described. They “were estimated with a 5-step protocol based on the lexis diagram, which integrates daily age-specific mortality counts with annual population numbers of consecutive age strata for age strata above 64 and with a linear interpolation between two consecutive years for age strata below 65”, full details are provided in the supplementary information^{69,70}. The daily mortality rate was computed as $(Death_{[day]}/Population_{[day]})$. Unadjusted, average daily death rate observed in the 16 European countries (1998–2012) was computed as the sum of $Death_{[day]}$ for all NUTS2 regions divided by the sum of $Population_{[day]}$ for all NUTS2 regions, averaged by year and day of the year and displayed in Fig. 1 (all sex and all ages) and Fig. 2 (by age and 10 years age groups).

Daily average values by NUTS2 regions of three meteorological variables: temperature (Kelvin), relative humidity (%) and wind speed (m/s) were computed from publicly available historical data downloaded from the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (<https://www.ecmwf.int/en/forecasts/datasets/open-data>).

The gross domestic product (GDP) is a proxy variable of socio-economic conditions. The GDP values were downloaded from the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) website (<https://stats.oecd.org/index.aspx?queryid=67051>). GDP is expressed in millions of US dollars, at constant prices and constant purchasing power parities (PPP is the “rate of currency conversion that equalise the purchasing power of different currencies by eliminating the differences in price levels between countries”) with 2015 as the reference year. These data were not available by NUTS2 regions for several countries before the year 2000 and for Switzerland before the year 2008. Thus, we imputed the yearly regional GDP among NUTS2 regions according to the trend observed during the available years 2000–2019, respectively, 2008–2019 using a linear regression imputation method.

Statistical analysis

Daily death counts were analysed using multiple negative binomial regression models to take into account their over-dispersion. Results are presented as incidence rate ratios (IRR) with a 95% confidence interval and were adjusted for year, season, month, day of the week, country, latitude and longitude of the NUTS2 centroid (NUTS2 being clustered into countries), a sine-cosine function that considers daylight seasonality, the weeks following DST transitions, yearly regional GDP, daily mean temperature (Kelvin), daily mean humidity (%) and daily mean wind speed (m/s). The same model was also run with different sex and age interactions—month, day of the week, spring DST, fall DST and country. Coefficients’ *P* values are two-sided and obtained from *z*-tests. Usually, there is no need for further adjustment for multiple comparisons as all parameters were analysed at once in the same regression model, but as the number of *P* values is high we computed the Benjamini–Hochberg *P*-value threshold for multiple comparisons, which is equal to 0.0445783, very close to the usual 0.05.

Third- and second-degree polynomial regression models were used to predict mortality rates arising on Sundays (weekly minimum) and Mondays (weekly maximum) from the time before the transition date to 9 weeks after the DST (cf. Fig. 1). Time is the number of days away from the DST transition. The mortality rates observed during the 9 weeks consecutive to both DST transition dates were not used in the regression models and thus interpolated in order to search for a deviation in mortality (that would manifest after DST). All statistics were performed with Stata release 17.0

Reporting summary

Further information on research design is available in the Nature Portfolio Reporting Summary linked to this article.

Data availability

All the figures’ data generated in this study are provided in the Supplementary Information/Source Data file as an excel file.

Links to public datasets are <https://www.ecmwf.int/en/forecasts/datasets/open-data> for climate data and <https://stats.oecd.org/index.aspx?queryid=67051> for the gross domestic product by regions.

Regarding the raw daily mortality data which are protected and not available due to data privacy laws:

(a) who to contact and who can contact: the corresponding author is the person to be contacted by academic researchers or national institutions.

(b) under which conditions and for what purposes data can be used: data exchanges are possible on the basis of scientific collaboration; the data can be reanalysed by the corresponding author to address new related questions or verification purposes.

(c) a time frame in which requests will be granted: within 8 weeks. Source data are provided with this paper.

References

1. Eurostat. *Population and Population Change Statistics* https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/statistics-explained/index.php?title=Population_and_population_change_statistics (2022).
2. Meira, E. C. M. et al. Impact of daylight saving time on circadian timing system: an expert statement. *Eur. J. Intern. Med.* **60**, 1–3 (2019).
3. Rishi, M. A. et al. Daylight saving time: an American Academy of Sleep Medicine position statement. *J. Clin. Sleep Med.* **16**, 1781–1784 (2020).
4. Parliament, E. *European Parliament Resolution of 8 February 2018 on Time Change Arrangements (2017/2968(RSP))* <http://www.europarl.europa.eu/sides/getDoc.do?pubRef=-//EP//TEXT+TA+P8-TA-2018-0043+0+DOC+XML+VO//EN> (2018).
5. Monk, T. H. & Folkard, S. Adjusting to the changes to and from Daylight Saving Time. *Nature* **261**, 688–689 (1976).
6. Kantermann, T., Juda, M., Mewes, M. & Roenneberg, T. The human circadian clock’s seasonal adjustment is disrupted by daylight saving time. *Curr. Biol.* **17**, 1996–2000 (2007).
7. Zhang, H., Dahlen, T., Khan, A., Edgren, G. & Rzhetsky, A. Measurable health effects associated with the daylight saving time shift. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* **16**, e1007927 (2020).
8. Allada, R. & Bass, J. Circadian mechanisms in medicine. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **384**, 550–561 (2021).
9. Janszky, I. & Ljung, R. Shifts to and from daylight saving time and incidence of myocardial infarction. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **359**, 1966–1968 (2008).
10. Janszky, I. et al. Daylight saving time shifts and incidence of acute myocardial infarction—Swedish Register of Information and Knowledge About Swedish Heart Intensive Care Admissions (RIKS-HIA). *Sleep Med.* **13**, 237–242 (2012).
11. Jiddou, M. R., Pica, M., Boura, J., Qu, L. & Franklin, B. A. Incidence of myocardial infarction with shifts to and from daylight savings time. *Am. J. Cardiol.* **111**, 631–635 (2013).
12. Sandhu, A., Seth, M. & Gurm, H. S. Daylight savings time and myocardial infarction. *Open Heart* **1**, e000019 (2014).
13. Kirchberger, I. et al. Are daylight saving time transitions associated with changes in myocardial infarction incidence? Results from the German MONICA/KORA Myocardial Infarction Registry. *BMC Public Health* **15**, 778 (2015).
14. Sipilä, J. O. T., Rautava, P. & Kytö, V. Association of daylight saving time transitions with incidence and in-hospital mortality of myocardial infarction in Finland. *Ann. Med.* **48**, 10–16 (2016).
15. Manfredini, R. et al. Daylight saving time and acute myocardial infarction: a meta-analysis. *J. Clin. Med.* **8**, <https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm8030404> (2019).

16. Manfredini, R. et al. Seasonal and weekly patterns of hospital admissions for nonfatal and fatal myocardial infarction. *Am. J. Emerg. Med.* **27**, 1097–1103 (2009).
17. Foerch, C., Korf, H. W., Steinmetz, H., Sitzer, M. & Arbeitsgruppe Schlaganfall, H. Abrupt shift of the pattern of diurnal variation in stroke onset with daylight saving time transitions. *Circulation* **118**, 284–290 (2008).
18. Sipilä, J. O., Ruuskanen, J. O., Rautava, P. & Kyto, V. Changes in ischemic stroke occurrence following daylight saving time transitions. *Sleep Med.* **27–28**, 20–24 (2016).
19. Carey, R. N. & Sarma, K. M. Impact of daylight saving time on road traffic collision risk: a systematic review. *BMJ Open* **7**, e014319 (2017).
20. Fritz, J., VoPham, T., Wright, K. P. Jr. & Vetter, C. A chronobiological evaluation of the acute effects of daylight saving time on traffic accident risk. *Curr. Biol.* **30**, 729–735e722 (2020).
21. Martin-Olalla, J. M. Traffic accident increase attributed to Daylight Saving Time doubled after Energy Policy Act. *Curr. Biol.* **30**, R298–R300 (2020).
22. Chudow, J. J. et al. Changes in atrial fibrillation admissions following daylight saving time transitions. *Sleep Med.* **69**, 155–158 (2020).
23. Kolla, B. P., Coombes, B. J., Morgenthaler, T. I. & Mansukhani, M. P. Increased patient safety-related incidents following the transition into daylight savings time. *J. Gen. Intern. Med.* **36**, 51–54 (2021).
24. Michael, B. et al. Small shifts in diurnal rhythms are associated with an increase in suicide: the effect of daylight saving. *Sleep Biol. Rhythm.* **6**, 22–25 (2008).
25. Lindenberger, L. M., Ackermann, H. & Parzeller, M. The controversial debate about daylight saving time (DST)—results of a retrospective forensic autopsy study in Frankfurt/Main (Germany) over 10 years (2006–2015). *Int. J. Leg. Med.* **133**, 1259–1265 (2019).
26. Poteser, M. & Moshammer, H. Daylight saving time transitions: impact on total mortality. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **17**, <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17051611> (2020).
27. Derks, L. et al. Daylight saving time does not seem to be associated with number of percutaneous coronary interventions for acute myocardial infarction in the Netherlands. *Neth. Heart J.* <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12471-021-01566-7> (2021).
28. Roenneberg, T. et al. Epidemiology of the human circadian clock. *Sleep Med. Rev.* **11**, 429–438 (2007).
29. Roenneberg, T. & Mewes, M. The circadian clock and human health. *Curr. Biol.* **26**, R432–R443 (2016).
30. Culic, V. Daylight saving time transitions and acute myocardial infarction. *Chronobiol. Int.* **30**, 662–668 (2013).
31. Manfredini, R. et al. Daylight saving time and myocardial infarction: should we be worried? A review of the evidence. *Eur. Rev. Med. Pharm. Sci.* **22**, 750–755 (2018).
32. Varughese, J. & Allen, R. P. Fatal accidents following changes in daylight savings time: the American experience. *Sleep Med.* **2**, 31–36 (2001).
33. Harrison, Y. The impact of daylight saving time on sleep and related behaviours. *Sleep Med. Rev.* **17**, 285–292 (2013).
34. Ballester, J., Robine, J. M., Herrmann, F. R. & Rodó, X. Long-term projections and acclimatization scenarios of temperature-related mortality in Europe. *Nat. Commun.* **2**, 358 (2011).
35. Gasparrini, A. et al. Mortality risk attributable to high and low ambient temperature: a multicountry observational study. *Lancet* **386**, 369–375 (2015).
36. Willich, S. N. et al. Weekly variation of acute myocardial infarction. Increased Monday risk in the working population. *Circulation* **90**, 87–93 (1994).
37. Peckova, M., Fahrenbruch, C. E., Cobb, L. A. & Hallstrom, A. P. Weekly and seasonal variation in the incidence of cardiac arrests. *Am. Heart J.* **137**, 512–515 (1999).
38. Arntz, H. R. et al. Diurnal, weekly and seasonal variation of sudden death. Population-based analysis of 24,061 consecutive cases. *Eur. Heart J.* **21**, 315–320 (2000).
39. Jakovljevic, D. Day of the week and ischemic stroke: is it Monday high or Sunday low? *Stroke* **35**, 2089–2093 (2004).
40. Manfredini, R. et al. Monday preference in onset of ischemic stroke. *Am. J. Med.* **111**, 401–403 (2001).
41. Witte, D. R., Grobbee, D. E., Bots, M. L. & Hoes, A. W. A meta-analysis of excess cardiac mortality on Monday. *Eur. J. Epidemiol.* **20**, 401–406 (2005).
42. Ni, Y. M. et al. Unexpected shift in circadian and septadian variation of sudden cardiac arrest: the Oregon Sudden Unexpected Death Study. *Heart Rhythm* **16**, 411–415 (2019).
43. Xia, L. et al. Chronobiological patterns of acute aortic dissection in central China. *Heart* <https://doi.org/10.1136/heartjnl-2020-317009> (2020).
44. Plöderl, M. Suicide risk over the course of the day, week, and life. *Psychiatr. Danub.* **33**, 438–445 (2021).
45. Dutta, R., Gkotsis, G., Velupillai, S., Bakolis, I. & Stewart, R. Temporal and diurnal variation in social media posts to a suicide support forum. *BMC Psychiatry* **21**, 259 (2021).
46. Tian, N., Zack, M. M. & Hesdorffer, D. C. Timing of suicide in people with epilepsy: a population-based study from 18 states of the United States, 2003–2014. *Epilepsy Behav.* **99**, 106421 (2019).
47. Tang, Y., Ratnapradipa, K. L., Xiang, H. & Zhu, M. Motor vehicle fatalities during Memorial Day weekends, 1981–2016. *BMC Res. Notes* **13**, 7 (2020).
48. Xu, Q. & Xu, K. Analysis of the characteristics of fatal accidents in the construction industry in China based on statistical data. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **18**, <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18042162> (2021).
49. Liu, J., Li, X. & Khattak, A. J. An integrated spatio-temporal approach to examine the consequences of driving under the influence (DUI) in crashes. *Accid. Anal. Prev.* **146**, 105742 (2020).
50. Bell, C. M. & Redelmeier, D. A. Mortality among patients admitted to hospitals on weekends as compared with weekdays. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **345**, 663–668 (2001).
51. Freemantle, N. et al. Increased mortality associated with weekend hospital admission: a case for expanded seven day services? *BMJ* **351**, h4596 (2015).
52. Walker, A. S. et al. Mortality risks associated with emergency admissions during weekends and public holidays: an analysis of electronic health records. *Lancet* **390**, 62–72 (2017).
53. Aylin, P., Alexandrescu, R., Jen, M. H., Mayer, E. K. & Bottle, A. Day of week of procedure and 30 day mortality for elective surgery: retrospective analysis of hospital episode statistics. *BMJ* **346**, f2424 (2013).
54. Chen, Y. F. et al. Magnitude and modifiers of the weekend effect in hospital admissions: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ Open* **9**, e025764 (2019).
55. Sun, J. et al. Sicker patients account for the weekend mortality effect among adult emergency admissions to a large hospital trust. *BMJ Qual. Saf.* **28**, 223–230 (2019).
56. Bion, J. et al. Changes in weekend and weekday care quality of emergency medical admissions to 20 hospitals in England during implementation of the 7-day services national health policy. *BMJ Qual. Saf.* **30**, 536–546 (2021).
57. Ruiz, M., Bottle, A. & Aylin, P. P. The Global Comparators project: international comparison of 30-day in-hospital mortality by day of the week. *BMJ Qual. Saf.* **24**, 492–504 (2015).
58. Blanchard, C. L., Tanenbaum, S. & Lawson, D. R. Differences between weekday and weekend air pollutant levels in Atlanta; Baltimore; Chicago; Dallas-Fort Worth; Denver; Houston; New York; Phoenix; Washington, DC; and surrounding areas. *J. Air Waste Manag. Assoc.* **58**, 1598–1615 (2008).

59. Casas, L. et al. Does air pollution trigger suicide? A case-crossover analysis of suicide deaths over the life span. *Eur. J. Epidemiol.* **32**, 973–981 (2017).
60. Liu, C. et al. Ambient particulate air pollution and daily mortality in 652 cities. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **381**, 705–715 (2019).
61. Davoudi, M. et al. Association of suicide with short-term exposure to air pollution at different lag times: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sci. Total Environ.* **771**, 144882 (2021).
62. Zhang, Z. et al. Long-term exposure to air pollution and mortality in a prospective cohort: The Ontario Health Study. *Environ. Int.* **154**, 106570 (2021).
63. Alfsen, G. & Mæhlen, J. The value of autopsies for determining the cause of death. Obduksjonens betydning for registrering av dødsårsak. *Tidsskr. Den. Nor. Legeforen.* **132**, 147–151 (2012).
64. Hunt, L. W. Jr. et al. Accuracy of the death certificate in a population-based study of asthmatic patients. *JAMA* **269**, 1947–1952 (1993).
65. Maudsley, G. & Williams, E. M. ‘Inaccuracy’ in death certification—where are we now? *J. Public Health Med.* **18**, 59–66 (1996).
66. Modelmog, D., Rahlenbeck, S. & Trichopoulos, D. Accuracy of death certificates: a population-based, complete-coverage, one-year autopsy study in East Germany. *Cancer Causes Control* **3**, 541–546 (1992).
67. Rosendahl, A., Mjörnheim, B. & Eriksson, L. C. Autopsies and quality of cause of death diagnoses. *SAGE Open Med.* **9**, 205031212110371 (2021).
68. Allebrandt, K. V. et al. Chronotype and sleep duration: the influence of season of assessment. *Chronobiol. Int.* **31**, 731–740 (2014).
69. Robine, J.-M., Michel, J. P. & Herrmann, F. R. Excess male mortality and age-specific mortality trajectories under different mortality conditions: a lesson from the heat wave of summer 2003. *Mech. Ageing Dev.* **133**, 378–386 (2012).
70. Ballester, J., Robine, J. M., Herrmann, F. R. & Rodo, X. Effect of the Great Recession on regional mortality trends in Europe. *Nat. Commun.* **10**, 679 (2019).

Acknowledgements

The EU Community Action Programme for Public Health (Grant Agreement No. 2005114 to J.M.R.) and the EUP7 project EUPORIAS (J.B. and X.R.) supported the collection of mortality data. J.B. gratefully acknowledges funding from the European Union’s Horizon 2020 and Horizon Europe research and innovation programmes under grant agreements Nos. 865564 (European Research Council Consolidator Grant EARLY-ADAPT), 727852 (project Blue-Action) and 730004 (project PUCS) and 101069213 (European Research Council Proof-of-Concept Grant HHS-EWS), and from the Ministry of Science, Innovation and Universities (MCIU) under grant agreement No. RYC2018-025446-I (Programme Ramón y Cajal) and EUR2019-103822 (project EURO-ADAPT).

Author contributions

J.M.R., J.B. and X.R. obtained funding to get the mortality data. J.M.R. and F.R.H. collected the mortality data. R.M. formatted and provided climate data. M.Q. provided GDP data, H.A. collected and provided causes of death data for Spain, F.R.H. designed the study and analysed the data. L.L. and F.R.H. interpreted the results and wrote the manuscript. L.L., F.R.H., J.M.R., G.R., R.M., M.Q., H.A., J.B. and X.R. contributed to the discussion of results and to the revision of the manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary information The online version contains supplementary material available at <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-34704-9>.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to François R. Herrmann.

Peer review information *Nature Communications* thanks Maria A. Barcelo, Roberto Manfredini and the other, anonymous, reviewer(s) for their contribution to the peer review of this work. Peer reviewer reports are available.

Reprints and permissions information is available at <http://www.nature.com/reprints>

Publisher’s note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article’s Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article’s Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

© The Author(s) 2022